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HIGHLIGHTS

\begin{itemize}
  \item Tumor subtypes can be identified based on their different imaging characteristics.
  \item Awareness of tumor subtype can help radiologists choose the appropriate modality for additional imaging workup.
  \item Awareness of differences in metastatic pattern between tumor subtypes can be helpful to identify early metastases.
\end{itemize}
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ABSTRACT

Cancer therapy has evolved from being broadly directed towards tumor types, to highly specific treatment protocols that target individual molecular subtypes of tumors. With the ever-increasing data on imaging characteristics of tumor subtypes and advancements in imaging techniques, it is now often possible for radiologists to differentiate tumor subtypes on imaging. Armed with this knowledge, radiologists may be able to provide specific information that can obviate the need for invasive methods to identify tumor subtypes. Different tumor subtypes also differ in their patterns of metastatic spread. Awareness of these differences can direct radiologists to relevant anatomical sites to screen for early metastases that may otherwise be difficult to detect during cursory inspection. Likewise, this knowledge will help radiologists to interpret indeterminate findings in a more specific manner.

1. Background

Precision medicine is a broad concept that refers to individualized care for each patient, as opposed to the more common historically evolved one-size-fits-all method, based on broad disease categories. Perhaps the most conspicuous branch of precision medicine is precision cancer therapy [1]. Personalizing cancer therapy has been made possible by the tremendous advancements in the field of molecular biology and genomics over the last few decades. These advancements have resulted in an increase in the understanding of how tumor cells develop, survive and progress. This further paved the way for the development of new anticancer agents that target specific pathways. Thus, present day cancer therapy requires characterization not only of the histological type of the tumor, but also its genomic or molecular subtype [2].

Advancements in cancer therapy have been paralleled by advancements in imaging technologies. In addition, over the last few decades, there has been a significant increase in the understanding of how tumors differ in their imaging characteristics based on their subtypes [3,4]. In a growing number of instances, this knowledge helps radiologists non-invasively predict the subtype of the tumor, understand how to screen for and identify early metastatic disease and choose the appropriate imaging modality or technique that best suits further determination of the tumor subtype.

The first part of this review describes imaging features that can differentiate the subtypes of select tumors and explains how some tumor
subtypes are best evaluated with certain imaging modalities. The second part of this review describes how select tumor subtypes differ in their metastatic patterns. We have not included advanced techniques such as texture analysis that are currently mostly utilized for research. Instead, our review discusses imaging techniques that are already in wide clinical use.

2. Radiological differentiation of tumor subtypes

2.1. Lung cancer

Lung cancer remains the leading cause of cancer mortality worldwide with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) representing approximately 85% of cases [5]. While clinical outcome for NSCLC is directly related to the pathologic stage at time of diagnosis [6,7], the development of therapies targeting specific genomic subtypes has significantly improved survival (not mortality) [8]. A combination of clinical and imaging features can be helpful in identifying certain subtypes of NSCLC.

Mutations in both epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) subtypes of NSCLC occur in younger individuals with light or no smoking history, with EGFR mutated lung cancer preferentially occurring in females [9,10]. Imaging features that favor EGFR subtype are peripheral lesions with a ground glass opacity (GGO) ratio of greater than 50%, size less than 3 cm, spiculated margins, pleural retraction and air bronchograms [11, 12]. An important point for the radiologist to consider is that EGFR mutant NSCLC can be mistaken for pneumonia or an inflammatory process (Fig. 1), both due to overlapping imaging features, and a common clinical presentation consisting of nonspecific respiratory symptoms such as cough and shortness of breath [13].

Imaging features that favor ALK rearranged NSCLC compared to ALK negative NSCLC are solid hypoattenuating lesions that do not demonstrate cavitation. Advanced disease is more likely to be associated with lymphadenopathy, lymphangitic carcinomatosis, and pleural and pericardial metastasis most commonly in the form of effusions [14]. The solid nature of ALK positive NSCLC is thought to be secondary to its histologic appearance of abundant intracellular mucin with small marinated nuclei [15].

2.2. Renal cell carcinoma

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) occurs twice as commonly in males compared to females. North America has one of the highest rate of cases worldwide, with approximately 76,000 new cases and 14,000 yearly deaths [16–18]. CT and MRI with and without contrast demonstrate similar accuracy in the diagnosis of small renal masses (SRM; renal mass less than 4 cm in maximal diameter), with MRI being more sensitive to detect contrast enhancement [19,20]. Contrast-enhanced dual-energy CT with material attenuation analysis is found to improve specificity when assessing for a possible SRM [21].

Approximately 75–85% of RCC are of the clear cell carcinoma subtype, which arise from the proximal tubule and tend to have a 3p chromosome deletion including von-Hippel Lindau gene (vHL) mutation [22]. Papillary RCC comprises approximately 10–15% of all RCC, and is further divided into type 1 and type 2 papillary RCC. The former is associated with MET mutations and tends to be more indolent while the latter has a more aggressive course and often presents with advanced disease [23,24]. Chromophobe RCC is less common than both papillary and clear cell subtypes, tends to present at a lower stage and has a much lower risk of disease progression in comparison to clear cell carcinoma [25,26].

Fig. 1. 72 year old female with shortness of breath. Axial CT images of the chest in lung window show multifocal ground glass and part solid opacities (arrows). This was initially considered to be an infectious or inflammatory process. However, as the patient had a history of treated EGFR mutated lung cancer, possibility of metastatic disease was raised, which was subsequently confirmed with biopsy.
Clear cell carcinoma is typically iso to hyperintense on T2 weighted images with respect to renal cortex [27], whereas almost all papillary RCC will present as a homogenously hypointense lesion [28] (Fig. 2). Clear cell RCC tends to enhance rapidly and avidly and reaches maximal enhancement by the corticomedullary phase (Fig. 3), whereas papillary demonstrates gradual enhancement, peaking during the excretory phase of renal enhancement [28,29]. Clear cell RCCs contain microscopic fat and lose signal intensity on out-of-phase images while papillary RCCs can contain macroscopic fat [30]. Chromophobe RCC enhances to a degree between clear cell RCC and papillary RCC [31,32]. Chromophobe and papillary RCC can be further differentiated from clear cell RCC by their significantly lower apparent diffusion coefficient values [33]. Chromophobe RCCs can demonstrate a ‘spoke-wheel’ pattern of enhancement.

A multiphase CT renal protocol of a papillary RCC may show indeterminate levels of enhancement (10–20 HU) and sometimes even absent attenuation (less than 10 HU) secondary to its tendency to be hypovascular and homogenous [34]. Papillary RCC lacks the Von Hippel-Lindau (VHL)- hypoxia inducible factor (HIF)- vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) pathway that often features prominently in clear cell RCC, which explains its relative lack of vascularity. A subtype of RCC known as “clear cell papillary RCC” can demonstrate imaging and histopathologic features common to both papillary and clear cell RCC. Fortunately, this type of RCC has low malignant potential [35,36].

2.3. Pancreatic tumors

Pancreatic cancer is the fourth leading cause of cancer related mortality in the United States [16]. Exocrine pancreatic tumors, or adenocarcinomas, make up approximately 85 % of all pancreatic cancers [37]. Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) are rare and usually sporadic, but they are also associated with several hereditary endocrinopathies [38].

Exocrine pancreatic tumors appear as ill-defined hypoattenuating masses in the pancreas [39] (Fig. 4). These are best seen on the “pancreatic phase”, which is a period after peak enhancement of the aorta in arterial phase but before peak hepatic enhancement in the portal venous phase [40,41].

Pancreatic NETs are best seen on arterial phase images as hyperattenuating masses [42–45] (Fig. 5). Somatostatin receptor-based imaging is an important tool that takes advantage of the overexpression of somatostatin receptors in most well differentiated NETs. Multiple positron emission tomography (PET) tracers currently in use, including 68-Ga DOTATATE, 68-Ga DOTATOC, and copper Cu-64 DOTATATE are often preferred over traditional somatostatin imaging such as the Octreoscan [46–49] due to increased sensitivity for detecting lesions (Fig. 6). One important consideration for the radiologist is that insulinomas, the most common functioning type of pancreatic NET, tends to have fewer levels of the somatostatin receptor and can be difficult to detect or occult on somatostatin receptor-based imaging [50,51].

2.4. Colorectal cancer

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a common disease with approximately 150,000 new cases diagnosed annually in the United States alone and nearly 53,000 Americans expected to die of colorectal cancer yearly [16,52]. Colorectal adenocarcinoma can be differentiated histologically into several subtypes [53]. However, this paper will discuss how certain imaging features of mucinous adenocarcinoma and signet ring adenocarcinoma subtypes can help differentiate them from the classic adenocarcinoma subtype.

2.4.1. Mucinous adenocarcinoma

Mucinous CRCs comprise nearly 11–17 % of all CRCs [54,55]. In comparison to classic adenocarcinoma, the mucinous subtype has a predilection for arising from the right colon [56]. With nearly 25% of cases misclassified secondary to sampling errors on initial biopsy [57], MRI becomes a useful tool to identify the mucinous subtype. Due to the presence of extensive extracellular mucin, mucinous colorectal cancers have significantly higher T2 signal (Fig. 7) when compared to non-mucinous cancers (Fig. 8) with a sensitivity and specificity of 94–100 % and 95–98 % respectively on T2 sequences. Thus MRI can be a superior tool for diagnosing the mucinous subtype compared to a biopsy [58]. Additionally, due to relatively low cellularity, mucinous CRCs demonstrate less enhancement and higher ADC values when compared to classic adenocarcinoma [59,60]. This is an important point for the radiologist to consider as a relative lack of enhancement and diffusion restriction in a colonic mass does not exclude neoplastic etiology, and may in fact point towards a mucinous subtype.

FDG-PET has variable utility in the setting of mucinous CRC with a negative correlation found between amount of mucin and inherent FDG avidity [61]. Interestingly, when compared to its colonic counterpart, mucinous cancer of the rectum demonstrates FDG avidity similar to non-mucinous subtypes [62].

Characteristic CT features of mucinous tumors when compared to classic adenocarcinoma include lower attenuation, heterogeneous enhancement, eccentric wall thickening, and more common intra-tumoral calcifications, with increasing specificity on CT if several of these features are present [58].

2.4.2. Signet ring cell adenocarcinoma

Accounting for 1–2 % of all cases, a CRC is labeled as a signet ring

Fig. 2. 61 year old male with papillary renal cell carcinoma. Note the hypointense signal on T2 weighted image (arrow in A) and relative hypoenhancement on post contrast T1 weighted image (arrow in B) of the mass.
cell subtype when greater than 50% of its cells contain intracellular mucin that displaces the cell nuclei to the periphery [63,64]. This subtype tends to have an aggressive course with frequent transmural spread and can have extensive peritoneal carcinomatosis at diagnosis [64,65]. Similar to mucinous adenocarcinoma, signet ring cell carcinoma (SRCC) tends to arise in the right colon, and in addition to its propensity for peritoneal spread, SRCC is prone to vascular and nerve infiltration, resulting in poorer prognosis [66]. Like mucinous CRC, the abundant intracellular mucin of SRCC results in T2 hyperintense signal and high ADC values [65]. There are limited reports of the imaging characteristics of SRCC, likely due to its rarity and late stage of presentation.

2.5. Cervical cancer

Cervical cancer accounts for an estimated 342,000 deaths annually worldwide [16,67]. Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) comprises the majority of cervical cancer subtypes, accounting for 70–75%, with adenocarcinoma accounting for nearly 25%, and all other subtypes, including neuroendocrine, being extremely rare [68]. Neuroendocrine cervical cancer (NECC) variants comprise approximately 2% of all cervical cancers [69,70]. Small cell neuroendocrine cervical cancer is the most common variant of neuroendocrine cervical cancer overall [71]. In MRI, NECC tend to demonstrate higher signal...
intensity on T2 and homogenous enhancement when compared to non-NECCs [71,72]. Additionally, due to the high cellularity nature of NECCs, ADC maps and DWI sequence can be useful to differentiate NECCs from other cervical cancers with a sensitivity of approximately 63 % and a specificity of 95 % [71,72].

Fig. 6. 68Ga DOTATATE PET/CT for pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor. 42 year old female with months of epigastric pain and flushing. Fused axial PET-CT (A) shows multiple foci of uptake in the body and tail of pancreas (white arrows). Coronal PET maximum intensity projection image (B) shows multiple foci of uptake in the liver, consistent with metastatic disease (black arrows show representative lesions).

Fig. 7. 62 year old male with mucinous rectal carcinoma. Note the T2 hyperintense signal of the primary rectal mass (arrows in A) and a metastatic lymph node (notched arrow in B). Note a low density perihilar metastatic deposit (arrow in C).

Fig. 8. 57 year old male with non-mucinous rectal carcinoma. The primary rectal mass (arrows) does not have the T2 hyperintense signal associated with mucinous rectal carcinoma.
3. Tumor subtypes and patterns of metastases

3.1. Cervical cancer

3.1.1. Adenocarcinoma versus SCC

SCC of the cervix typically portends a better prognosis compared to adenocarcinoma [73,74]. Adenocarcinoma and SCC of the cervix share many of the same prognostic factors, including stage, nodal status, tumor volume, grade, depth of stromal invasion and lymphovascular invasion [75,76]. However, adenocarcinomas more commonly exhibit ovarian metastasis (5% compared to 0.8%) [77], distant metastasis (36.8% compared to 21.2%) [78], hydrothorax and ascites [79]. Adenocarcinoma of the cervix also has a higher predilection for spreading to the paraaortic lymph nodes and uterine body [79].

3.1.2. Neuroendocrine cervical cancer

The dissemination pattern of NECC, in particular the small cell subset, is more similar to small cell cancer of the lung than other cervical cancer subtypes, with higher likelihood of spread to bone, liver, lungs, lymphatic system, and soft tissues [80]. There is also a higher incidence of brain metastasis in NECCs; another similarity with small cell cancer of the lung [81,82]. 18F-FDG PET/CT and 68Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT, in somatostatin receptor positive NECCs, are both excellent for early staging and are superior to both MRI and CT in detecting distant metastasis [71,78].

3.2. Gastric cancer

Despite the decline in incidence and mortality of gastric cancer and the current advancements in understanding epidemiology and molecular pathology, gastric cancer remains one of the leading causes of cancer deaths worldwide [83,84]. Cancers of the gastric cardia demonstrate a twofold higher rate of lung metastasis when compared to non-cardia cancers [85] and also have a higher rate of liver metastasis [86]. The WHO categorizes gastric cancer into its five histological subtypes: tubular, papillary, mucinous, poorly cohesive, and rare variants [84].

Signet ring gastric carcinoma (SRGC) is categorized under the poorly cohesive histological subtype of gastric cancers. When compared to other gastric cancers, SRGC more frequently metastasizes to the peritoneum and bones and less to the liver and lungs [86,87]. Pulmonary metastases of SRGC can manifest as lymphangitic carcinomatosis (Fig. 9). Such patients often present with acutely progressive dyspnea, thus mimicking an infectious process on imaging [88]. Indeed, there are several case reports of young patients presenting with acute dyspneaa as a first manifestation of their undiagnosed SRCC with thoracic metastases [89,90].

3.3. Germ cell tumors of the testes

Germ cell tumors are the most common solid neoplasms in men between the ages of 15 and 34 [91]. By subtype, germ cell tumors are subdivided into seminomatous germ cell tumors (SGCT) and non-seminomatous germ cell tumors (NSGCT), with the latter further subdivided into embryonal carcinoma, teratomas, yolk sack tumors and choriocarcinoma [92]. Potential metastatic sites include lungs, liver, bones and CNS with different prognostic implications for each site [93].

Less than 5% of cases of pure seminomas present with stage 3 disease and spread beyond the retroperitoneal lymph nodes [94]. There is a twenty fold greater chance of intrathoracic metastasis with NSGCT when compared to SGCT [95,96]. Pulmonary metastases of seminomas tend to be larger in size, measuring around 1–2 cm, and are more homogenous [95,97]. Pulmonary metastases of NSGCT classically manifest as small, bilateral peripheral pulmonary nodules [97]. Seminomas are more likely to spread to the posterior mediastinum, contiguous with the thoracic
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Fig. 9. Lymphangitis carcinomatosis pattern with metastatic signet ring gastric cancer (SRGC). 49 year old female with history of SRGC presenting with shortness of breath. Chest CT at the time of presentation (A, B) shows small ground glass opacities (arrows in A and B), initially thought to be infectious or inflammatory in etiology. However in view of history of SRCC, possibility of metastatic disease was considered. Chest CT three weeks later (C,D) shows extensive consolidative opacities and septal thickening throughout the right lung with new small right pleural effusion, confirmed to be lymphangitic carcinomatosis from SRGC on cytology.
dyst, with mediastinal disease being present in nearly 70 % of all cases with intrathoracic manifestations [98,99]. Intrathoracic metastases from NSGCT involve the anterior and middle mediastinum (visceral mediastinum) and usually spare the posterior mediastinum [98,100]. Brain metastases are far more likely with NSGCT [101].

NSGCT metastases containing choriocarcinoma histology can be hemorrhagic [94]. Osseous metastases are more likely with tumors that have embryonal and yolk sack histology [102-104].

3.4. Breast cancer

Breast cancer is one of the most common cancers among women with new case numbers rising yearly [105,106]. Invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC), which comprises approximately 85% of invasive breast cancers [107], follows a predictable pattern of spread based on its expression of hormone markers. For example, triple negative breast cancer has increased propensity for the lungs and brain, and is less likely to spread to bone and liver when compared to ER or PR positive breast cancers [108,109]. Patients with high grade myoepithelial cancers, a closely related subgroup, have a higher tendency to metastasize to the lungs and brain compared to other subsets of IDC [110,111].

Invasive lobular carcinoma (ILC) is the second most common subtype of breast cancer [112]. It has a much greater propensity for gastrointestinal/peri toneal, pleural and ovarian metastasis [113-115] (Fig. 10). It is postulated that E-cadherin downregulation/dysfunction, which is noted in ILC, ovarian and gastric cancers, may contribute to ILC’s proclivity for these unusual site of metastases [116,117].

Patients with prior history of breast cancer presenting with signs of intestinal obstruction need careful work up and understanding of the subtype of the patient’s breast cancer, as intraabdominal metastatic spread of ILC can occur remote to initial diagnosis and can be the first sign of recurrence [118,119]. The typical sites of intraabdominal metastasis of lobular carcinoma include the peritoneum/retroperitoneum and liver, with the rest of the GI tract including colon and stomach being less likely [115]. Interestingly, when ILC metastasizes to the stomach, it poses a dilemma for the pathologist as it is identical in appearance to signet ring cell carcinoma of the stomach [120].

3.5. Prostate cancer

Prostate cancer has an annual estimated incidence of 1,600,000 cases and results in approximately 360,000 deaths worldwide, and has become one of the most common cancers in men [121]. Many prostate cancers are indolent, and in general patients diagnosed with prostate cancer are far more likely to die of other causes [122]. Lymph nodes, bones, liver and lung are the most frequent sites of metastatic spread in prostate adenocarcinoma [123]. PSMA PET/CT has narrowed the interval between biochemical and imaging recurrence and can detect prostate adenocarcinoma’s spread at an earlier stage than in the pre-PMSA era [124,125] (Fig. 11).

Prostate cancers are classified into two broad histologic subtypes - prostate adenocarcinoma and neuroendocrine prostate cancer (NEPC) [126]. NEPC, also referred to as castration resistant prostate cancers, comprises a group of neuroendocrine subsets including small cell carcinoma, carcinoid, large cell neuroendocrine, Paneth cell, and mixed neuroendocrine/adenocarcinoma [126,127]. De novo primary NEPC represents about 1 % of all prostate cancer cases whereas focal neuroendocrine differentiation of an existing prostatic adenocarcinoma occurs in about 5–10 % of all prostate cancer cases [127] (Fig. 12).

Prostate cancer has a preponderance for metastasis to the vertebral bodies with 90% of autopsied metastatic prostate cancer patients having histologically proven vertebral metastasis [128]. Instead of the expected sclerotic lesion seen with prostatic adenocarcinoma, non-epithelial tumors of the prostate can cause lytic bone metastasis, particularly the more aggressive small cell carcinoma subset [129,130]. Osteolytic prostate metastatic lesions typically demonstrate intense uptake on 18F-FDG PET as opposed to predominantly osteoblastic lesions which are not as avid [131,132].

Current guidelines recommend a bone scan with CT and MRI for osseous lesion characterization and cord involvement respectively, in patients with known vertebral metastases from prostate cancer. However as small osteolytic metastatic foci can often be missed on these modalities, in patients with known small cell neuroendocrine variant of prostate cancer, radiologists should consider recommending 18F-FDG PET/CT to optimally localize sub-clinical lesions, particularly in patients with known biochemical relapse [133,134].

3.6. Renal cell carcinoma

About 18 % of patients with RCC have metastases at diagnosis, and about 25–50 % of those without metastatic disease at diagnosis will develop metastasis during the course of therapy [135,136]. While higher stage and higher nuclear grade of the tumor are associated with increased risk of metastatic disease [136,137], the histologic subtype is also an important factor. More than 90 % of patients with metastatic RCC have clear cell RCC [138]. However, it is important to note the clear

---

Fig. 10. Peritoneal metastatic disease from lobular breast cancer. 68 year old female presenting with abdominal discomfort, diarrhea and fecal incontinence. She has a past history of ER+ lobular breast cancer. CT of the abdomen and pelvis with oral contrast shows ill-defined mesenteric soft tissue (black arrow) and serosal thickening of the small bowel (notched black arrow) and stomach (white arrows), indicating peritoneal metastatic disease.
cell RCC is also the most common subtype of RCC. Collecting duct (Bellini duct) carcinoma, medullary carcinoma, and sarcomatoid variants of RCC are almost always associated with metastatic disease at presentation [135].

The histologic subtype of RCC also determines the pattern of metastatic spread. Lung, adrenal, brain, pancreatic and renal metastases are more common with clear cell RCC, lymph nodal and peritoneal metastases are more common with papillary RCC (Fig. 13) and liver metastases are more common with chromophobe RCC [4,139].

3.7. Future directions – radiomics

The exponential increase in medical image analysis has led to the development of a field called radiomics. Broadly, radiomics refers to the process of segmenting and extracting a multitude of features from a region of interest contained within medical images. These features are then studied for their association with a variety of medically relevant dimensions of information including diagnosis, prognosis and treatment response [140,141]. Although multiple publications have demonstrated the utility of radiomics, its real-world applicability is limited by the
magnitude of the data that needs to be processed and the large number of features that can be extracted. For example, a study by Stefania et al. utilized 20 imaging features, in combination with age, gender and history of smoking, to differentiate molecular subtypes of NSCLC [3]. Such an extensive and complex analysis is not feasible in a clinical setting without routine computational support. Artificial intelligence-based solutions hold promise in this regard, but their application to a broad array of tumors, and suitability for routine clinical use requires extensive further exploration [142,143]. Conceivably, neural networks could be trained to correlate clinical downstream data such as genomic or histologic tumor typing with upstream radiomic analyses, early proof of concept, interdisciplinary studies are needed in this regard. Future efforts must be directed to develop systems that are well integrated into the production workflow of the radiologist to provide insights in real time at the time of reporting. This holds promise to vastly advance the quality of their work product, the radiology report. One can envision a future in which radiomics may obviate the need for biopsy and histologic diagnosis, or accelerate therapeutic intervention, at least in suitable tumors.

4. Conclusion

Subtypes of tumors differ in their imaging characteristics and patterns of metastatic spread. Awareness of these differences will help radiologists to predict tumor subtype at the time of diagnosis and recommend appropriate imaging modalities for additional imaging workup. Likewise, knowledge about patterns of spread will enable radiologists to create additional specific search patterns to identify early and typical metastases. This added skillset will enhance the ability of radiologists to participate in comprehensive cancer care.
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